

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/036,208	10/29/2001	Hiroyuki Odaka	2530 USIP	4444	
23115	7590 04/27/2006		EXAMINER		
	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS NORTH AMERICA, INC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 475 HALF DAY ROAD SUITE 500			ANDERSON, JAMES D	
				PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 500				1614	
LINCOLNSH	IRE, IL 60069		DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/036,208	ODAKA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James D. Anderson	1614		

20.0.0 0.0 9 0	Examiner	AILOIIIL				
	James D. Anderson	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, whig date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. In on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS						
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	_					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-6,11,22-24,26,27 and 50</u> .		ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
13.  Other:	C	hubs holds	u			
	SUPER TEC	VISORY PATENT EXAMINER HNOLOGY CENTER 1600				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continued from 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in a condition for allowance because: (a) Applicant's response and cancellation of Claim 50 overcomes the 35 USC 112, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph rejection; (b) Applicant's response and amendments to Claim 1 overcome the 35 USC 112, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph and 112 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph rejection of Claims 1, 4-6, 11, 22-24, 26-27, and 50; (c) Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive regarding the 35 USC 103 rejection of Claims 1, 4-6, 11, 22-24, 26-27, and 50 as being unpatenable over WO 98/11884 and Russel et al. in view of Biosis AN (1997: 356824). Amending Claim 1 to recite specific anorectics does not render the Claims unobvious over the cited references; and (d) Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive regarding the 35 USC 103 rejection of Claims 1, 4-6, 11, 22-24, 26-27, and 50 as being unpatenable over Medline AN (1998: 152487) and WO 93/03724 in view of Biosis AN (1997: 356824). Amending Claim 1 to recite specific anorectics does not render the Claims unobvious over the cited references. Thus, the rejection of Claims 1, 4-6, 11, 22-24, 26-27, and 50 under 35 USC 103 is maintained.